



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS - 1963 - A

Construction of the Constr



# AD-A160 621

## A COMPARISON OF SPRAIN AND STRAIN INJURY RATES DURING AEROBIC/CALISTHENIC AND AEROBIC/CIRCUIT WEIGHT TRAINING PROGRAMS

E. J. MARCINIK

J. A. HODGDON

J. J. O'BRIEN

**REPORT NO. 85-28** 







### **NAVAL HEALTH RESEARCH CENTER**

P.O. BOX 85122 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92138-9174

NAVAL MEDICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT COMMAND BETHESDA, MARYLAND

This decument has been approved for public release and sales its distribution to enlimited.

85 10 28 008

### A COMPARISON OF SPRAIN AND STRAIN INJURY RATES DURING AEROBIC/CALISTHENIC AND AEROBIC/CIRCUIT WEIGHT TRAINING PROGRAMS

E. J. MARCINIK

J. A. HODGDON

J. J. O'BRIEN

Report No. 85-28, supported by the Naval Medical Research and Development Command, Department of the Navy, under work unit M0096.PN.001-1050. The views presented in this paper are those of the authors. No endorsement by the Navy has been given or should be inferred.

This document has been approved for public release and sales its distribution is unlimited.

### SUMMARY

Sprain and strain (S/S) injury data was collected from 1169 male recruits receiving 8-week Navy basic training at the Recruit Training Command, San Diego, California. Subjects were randomly assigned to either the standard aerobic/calisthenic (A/CAL) program (N=722) or a circuit weight training regimen performed in conjunction with the standard running program (N=447). A/CAL training consisted of approximately 10 minutes of flexibility and calistenic exercises followed by an endurance run. Aerobic/circuit weight training (A/CWT) members performed two circuits (1 circuit = 15 exercises) on a multi-station gym following a 15 s work/ 15 s rest cycle also followed by a run. Both groups exercised on alternate days 3 times per week.

Findings show a significantly ( $X^2 = 13.3$ ,  $p \le 0.05$ ) lower total number of injuries on the part of A/CWT trainees. A reduced ( $x^2 = 12.8$ ,  $p \le 0.05$ ) number of injuries of the ankle/foot region seem to account for this finding. A/CWT also produced significantly ( $X^2 = 44.5$ ,  $p \le 0.05$ ) fewer no march/no physical training (NM/NPT) days than A/CAL training with days lost from ankle/foot injuries again accounting for the difference between groups ( $X^2 = 41.4$  p < 0.05).

It can be concluded that the addition of circuit weight training to the standard Navy recruit running program had a significant effect on the overall incidence of S/S injuries. Strengthening programs of this sort may be helpful in conditioning recruits for running induced orthopedic stress.

Acces	sion For	
NTIS	GRA&I	
DTIC '	TAB , 🚹	
Unann	ounced 🔲	Ī
Justi:	fication	
<del> </del>	<del></del>	
Ву		
Distr	ibution/	
Avai	lability Codes	
	Avail and/or	
Dist	Special	}
	1	1/
		1 (
MI		1 1
	<u></u>	



### INTRODUCTION

Injuries sustained during basic training are a serious problem that may jeopardize an individual's successful entry into the military service. Injuries result in lost training time, increased medical costs, and place an administrative burden on recruit training center personnel. Kowal (1980), for example, has found the overall incidence of lower extremity injuries to be 62% among female and 26% among male Army basic trainees. According to Jones (1983) one of the more important factors influential in the development of these injuries is initial level of fitness. This is of practical importance to the Navy, as sedentary and muscularly deficient individuals may be especially prediposed to injuries precipatated by physical training.

To date, several studies have compared training outcomes of aerobic-based programs featuring either calisthenic (A/CAL) or circuit weight training exercises (A/CWT) (Marcinik, 1984a; Marcinik, 1984b). In brief, findings show circuit weight training leads to more pronounced relative changes in muscular strength. Strengthening regimes of this sort have been recommended by several authors as a means to reduce the frequency and severity of injuries (Snook, 1972; Cady, 1979). If improved strength is associated with lower rates of injury, A/CWT programs may have therapeutic value in a recruit training setting. In an effort to substantiate this hypothesis, an investigation was undertaken to compare rates of injury and subsequent training days lost during A/CAL and A/CWT.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Injury data was collected from 1169 male recruits receiving 8-week Navy basic training at the Recruit Training Command, San Diego, California between the period August, 1984 to February, 1985. Subjects were randomly assigned to either the standard A/CAL program (N=722) or a circuit weight training regimen performed in conjunction with the standard running program (A/CWT, N=447).

### Training Programs

さられないので

The standard A/CAL program consisted of approximately 10 minutes of flexibility and calisthenic exercises followed by an endurance run. Exercise sessions were performed on alternate days, 3 times per week. Runs were progressive in nature, extending from a 2.4 km run without time requirement in week 1, to 3.6 km performed at an 5 minute/km pace in week 8. During week 2, run distance remained at 2.4 km but at the 5 minute/km pace. Thereafter, run distance increased by .4 km every 2 weeks without a change in pace. (Instructor's Guide for U.S. Navy Recruit Training). Calisthenic exercises (viz. sit-ups, push-ups, flutter kicks, 8 count-body builders, and jumping jacks) also increased in volume during the training period.

Recruits participating in the A/CWT program followed the identical running program as standard trainees. In lieu of the calisthenics however, two circuits (1 circuit = 15 exercises) of CWT exercises were performed each training session. During the CWT sessions subjects rotated from station to station following a 15 s work/15 s rest schedule. Specific exercises included the bench press,

shoulder press, hip flexor, knee extension, pull-up, arm-curl, lat-pulldown, leg press, arm dip, and inclined sit-ups performed on a Universal® Gym. Run-in place, push-ups, flutter kicks, body builders, and jumping jacks were performed at ancillary stations. Work on the weight machine was performed at 60% of determined 1 repetition maximum (1RM) strength for each exercise. Weights were readjusted during the fourth week of training to account for changes in strength.

### Injury Survey

This survey was limited to the study of S/S injuries due to the difficulty in tracking and obtaining valid injury data on a cohort of this size. A sprain was defined as a joint injury in which fibers of a supporting ligament were runtured. Strains were regarded as pulls or tears in some part of the body musculature. For purposes of this investigation, only injuries sustained as a result of the physical training curriculum which required medical attention were recorded. Information was obtained through the use of medical vouchers issued by the medical department at the Naval Training Center, San Diego, California, dispensary. These vouchers were then collected by recruit training command staff personnel at the Camp Nimitz barracks training site. Collected data included the particular location of the S/S injury (e.g., shoulder/arm, knee/leg, ankle/foot, and lower back) and the number of no march/no physical training (NM/NPT) days lost on account of injury.

### **ANALYSIS PROCEDURES**

Total number of S/S injuries and subsequent NM/NPT incurred during basic training were recorded and relative percentages for each of the anatomical regions calculated. A chi square test utilizing a two way contingency table was used to assess differences between training groups for numbers of S/S injuries and number of NM/NPT days incurred. Statistical significance was set at the p<0.05 level.

### RESULTS

The overall injury rate for the recruit cohort was 11.8% (Table 1). Injuries of the ankle/foot region accounted for the majority of injuries (56.5%), followed by injuries of the knee/leg (27.5%), lower back (8.7%), and shoulder/arm (7.2%). Lower extremity injuries (1.e., knee/leg and ankle/foot) resulted in 388 NM/NPT days or 85.6% of the total.

Recruits training with A/CWT experienced a significantly ( $X^2 = 13.3$ ,  $P \le 0.05$ ) lower total number of S/S injuries (Table 2). A significant ( $X^2 = 12.8$ ,  $P \le 0.05$ ) reduction in the frequency of ankle/foot injuries seems to account for this finding.

A/CWT also produced significantly ( $x^2 = 44.5$ ,  $p \le 0.05$ ) fewer NM/NPT days than A/CAL training (Table 3). Again, days lost due to ankle/toot injuries were responsible for the difference between groups ( $x^2 = 41.4$ , p < 0.05)

Incidence rates for injury observed here are lower than reported elsewhere during military basic training (Bensel, 1976; Kowal, 1980). These studies, however, have reported on a wider litary of overuse injuries including stress fractures, chondromalacia/patello-femoral syndrome, etc. The present study documented only S/S injuries sustained as a part of physical training which required medical attention. Injuries occurring during other aspects of basic training (e.g. marching, sports activities) were not reported. Additionally, data was presented according to training group (A/CAL and A/CWT) and not to any specific element within each program (i.e., running versus calisthenics). Thus it is unclear whether injuries were associated with running or with other components of the curriculum. A review of the literature by Jones (1983), however, suggests basic training related injuries are predominantly running induced lower extremity injuries. The high precentage of lower torso injuries found among Navy recruits (84.0% of total) seems to be in concert with these findings.

With respect to the epidemiology of injury, available evidence suggests that increasing the duration, frequency, or intensity of running too rapidly leads to increased likelihood of trauma (Clement, 1981). During the present investigation subjects were required to run between 2.4 km to 3.6 km during the 8 week training period. Training frequency (3x/wk) was maintained throughout training. Training pace (5 min/kg) was constant after the first week of training. Adherence to these moderate running guidelines does not appear to have placed the average recruit at increased risk for injury. Unfit recruits, however, may have been unprepared for the orthopedic stress associated with running and as a result were injury victims. In support of this hypothesis, Kowal (1980) has reported that weight, percent body fat, and limited leg strength were related to increased incidence of injury in women during Army basic training.

The finding of a lower rate of ankle/foot injuries among CWT members during the present study may be the result of training induced strength gains. In physical activities, such as running, nearly every muscle in the leg must contract to stabilize the intertarsal and talocrural joints and prevent inversion, eversion, or dorsiflexion of the foot. Since the ankle joint is poorly stablized by muscles and ligaments, the effect of strengthening regimes may be most evident in this anatomical region. Training responses to A/CAL and A/CWT nave previously been investigated in a male recruit population (Marcinik, 1984a) Findings showed that A/CWT elicted greater relative changes in lower torso strength (knee extension and leg press test) and leg muscular endurance. Improved leg endurance among CWT recruits may have also delayed the onset of fatigue generally considered to be associated with increased rates of injury during bouts of running.

### CONCLUSION

This investigation enabled us to compare the effects of different training regimes on injuries and

training days lost. The addition of circuit weight training to the standard Navy recruit running program was found to have a significant effect on the frequency of S/S type injuries. The practical significance of these results is that due to its progressive nature, circuit weight training may be a suitable form of exercise for individuals who do not easily tolerate the physical stress of running. Programs of this sort may be especially helpfull in conditioning individuals for aerobic training who have previously lead sedentary lifestyles or may be predisposed to lower extremity trauma.

### References

- Bensel, C.K.: The effects of tropical and leather combat boots on lower extremity disorders among Marine Corps recruits. Clothing, Equipment, and Materials Engineering Laboratory, Natick Army Labs, Tech Report No. 76-49-GEMEL, 1976.
- Cady, L.D.: Strength and fitness and subsequent back injuries in firefighters. J. Occup. Med., 21(4):269-272, 1979.
- Clement, D.B.: A survey of overuse running injuries. Physicians Sports Med. 9:47-58, 1981.
- Instructor's Guide for U.S. Navy Recruit Training. NAVCRUITRACOMSDIEGOINST 5400.170.
- Jones, B.H. Overuse injuries of the lower extremities associated with marching, jogging, and running:

  A review. Military Medicine, 148:783-786, 1983.
- Kowal, D: Nature and Causes of Injuries in Women Resulting from an Endurance Training program. Am J Sports Med. 8:265-261, 1980.
- Marcinik, E.J., Hodgdon, J.A., and O'Brien, J.J.: Aerobic/calisthenic and aerobic/circuit weight training programs for Navy men: A comparative study. NHRC Report No. 84-6, San Diego, CA 92138-9174, 1984a.
- Marcinik, E.J., Hodgdon, J.A., and D'Brien, J.J. Changes in fitness of Navy women tollowing aerobic/calisthenic and aerobic/circuit weight training programs. NHRC Report No. 84-19, San Diego, CA 92138-9174, 1984b.
- Snook, S.H. and Ciriello, V.: Low Back Pain in Industry. Am Soc Saf Eng J. 17(4):17-23, 1972.

Table 1 Total Number of Sprain and Strain (S/S) Injuries and No March/No Physical Training (NM/NPT) Days During Navy Basic Training (N=1169)

	Total Number of S/S Injuries	% of Total S/S Injuries	Total Number of NM/NPT Days	% of Total NM/NPT Days
Shoulder/Arm	10	7.2%	31	6.8%
Knee/Leg	38	27.5%	93	20.5%
Ankle/Foot	78	56.5%	295	65.1%
Lower Back	12	8.7%	34	7.5%
Total	138		453	

Table 2 Comparison of Sprain and Strain (S/S) Injuries Incurred Between Training Programs (Two Way Contingency Table)

	Shoulder/ Arm	Knee/ Leg	Ankle/ Foot	Lower/ Back	Total
A/CAL (N=722)	5	23	63	7	98
A/CWT (N=477)	5	15	15	5	40
(d.f.)	1	1	1	1	1
x <sup>2</sup>	0.59087	9.02540	12.78491*	0.06036	13.27154*

<sup>\*</sup> Significant (P<0.05) difference between programs

Table 3 Comparison of Total Number of No March/No Physical Training (NM/NPT)
Between Training Programs (Two Way Contingency Table)

	Shoulder/ Arm	Knee/ Leg	Ankle/ Foot	Lower/ Back	Total
A/CAL (N=722)	16	60	236	18	330
A/CWT (N=477)	15	33	59	16	123
(a.f.)	1	1	1	1	4
x <sup>2</sup>	1.32770	0.31466	41.36612*	1.09719	44.48952*

<sup>\*</sup> Significant (P<0.05) difference between programs

### TABLE 4 - MEAN FITNESS CHANGES FOLLOWING AEROBIC/CIRCUIT WEIGHT TRAINING AND THE STANDARD NAVY RECRUIT AEROBIC/CALISTHENIC TRAINING PROGRAM

	Initial Adjusted Mean All Groups (N=87)	Final <sup>1</sup> Adjusted Mean A/CWT-70 (N=41)	Final <sup>l</sup> Adjusted Mean A/Cal (N=46)	F Yalue
Upper Torso Dynamic Strength (kg)				
Shoulder Press Bench Press Arm Curl Lat Pulldown	52.6 63.4 30.4 64.9	57.0 ( 8.3%) * 71.2 (12.3%) * 36.1 (18.6%) * 72.4 (11.6%) *	45.8 (-13.0%) a* 55.6 (-12.2%) a* 30.1 (- 1.0%) a 63.1 (- 2.8%) a	50.6 † 101.8 † 39.5 † 21.5 †
Lower Torso Dynamic Strength (kg)				
Leg Press Knee Extension	181.9 66.4	190.3 ( 4.6%) * 86.5 (30.3%) *	149.4 (-17.8%) a* 75.2 ( 13.4%) a*	63.6 † 18.3 †
Muscular Endurance (No. of Repetitions)				
Bench Press Leg Press	17.6 48.7	20.5 (16.5%) * 52.7 ( 8.2%) *	20.1 ( 14.2%) * 38.8 (-20.3%) a*	.3 13.3 †

 $<sup>^1</sup>_\star$  Final adjusted means shown with % change from initial means Significantly different from initial mean value (p<0.05) a Significantly different from A/CWT-70 Significant F value

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM			
1. REPORT NUMBER  85-28  2. GOVT ACCESSION NO  AD - HIGH	3. RECOIDENT'S CATALOG NUMBER			
4. TITLE (and Substitio)  (U) A COMPARISON OF SPRAIN AND STRAIN INJURY RATES DURING AEROBIC/CALESTHENIC AND AEROBIC/ CIRCUIT WEIGHT TRAINING PROGRAMS	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED  INTERIM  6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER			
7. AUTHOR(*) E.J. Marcinik, J.A. Hodgdon, J.J. O'Brien	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(*)			
3. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Naval Health Research Center PO Box 85122	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS M0096-PN.001-1050			
San Diego, CA 92138  11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE			
Naval Medical Research & Development Command Naval Medical Command, National Capitol Region Bethesda, MD 20814-5044  14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	August 1985 13. Number of Pages 8			
Commander, Naval Medical Command  Department of the Navy	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED			
Washington, DC 20372	15. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
Approved for public release; distribution unlimit	ed.			
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abetract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)  Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number Physical Fitness Physical Training Injuries Circuit Weight Training Calisthenics Remedial Training				
A comparison of sprain/strain (S/S) injuries and during aerobic based programs featuring either catraining programs was undertaken. Findings showe the total number of S/S injuries and subsequent rays among aerobic/circuit weight training (A/CWT incidence of ankle/foot injuries following A/CWT findings.	subsequent training days lost listhenic or circuit weight ed a significant reduction in no march/no physical training () participants. Lower appeared to account for these			

DD 1 JAN 73 1473

### UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)

Results suggest th value in better pr Participation may those predisposed	at strengthening programs of this type may have therapeutic eparing recruits for running induced orthopedic stress. be particularly beneficial for sedentary individuals or to lower extremity trauma.
5/N 0102- LF- 014- 6601	

# END

### FILMED

12-85

DTIC